From:
 SizewellC

 Cc:
 Cc:

Subject: Preliminary Meetings Part 2, 14 April

Date: 07 April 2021 20:29:13

Importance: High

Dear Sir/Madam.

I would like to register my concerns as below for the 14th April preliminary meeting Part 2 which I cannot unfortunately attend but I would instead like to be able to watch the live stream when possible so please link me to that:

- 1. I am concerned about your reliance on remote technology, in particular on MS Teams resulting in video freezing, echoey sound, livestream not always working, issues of incompatibility with ipads/taking up disk space. Why/is it necessary to host meetings via the Teams App? Relatively not many people have this application and I believe it takes more bandwidth to run.
- 2. Those who are more hard of hearing in particular have trouble hearing dialogue and in Part 1 the lead examiner, Wendy McKay, had such a soft voice that many couldn't hear what she was saying. There was an echo that muffled her voice and the picture kept blurring and was sometimes frozen. The agenda was also a muddle, making it hard to follow.
- 3. Therefore I strongly suggest a return to in-person meetings and that the official start of the Examination is postponed until such time that we can all meet in public.
- 4. I am very concerned that the Planning Inspectorate will not decide whether to accept EDF's application until the examination has started. Given the "unusually large and complex application" (the words of EDF's counsel) every day lost will disadvantage those with limited resources and not be inclusive. Please delay the start of the examination until after this decision is taken. This could be done by convening a Part 3 of the Preliminary Meeting or by any other means at the Examining Authorities disposal.
- 5. EDF's claim of urgency is not valid. Afterall there is no funding model in place, nor likely to be for some months after the end of the examination.
- 6. Suffolk County Council's elections and delay until cabinet appointments are made mean there will be no Sizewell C lead from 14 April until the end of May.
- 7. The volume of people wishing to take part means there should be flexibility to allow sufficient Open Floor Hearings.
- 8. Regarding coastal defences, I am concerned that EDF is pushing this critical issue off the examination and onto the Office of Nuclear Regulation's site licensing process.
- 9. EDF's coastal defence plans are incomplete, and late submission of applications for site licenses means that complete plans may not be available in the examination, potentially subverting the process. EDF is relying on a "Rochdale envelope" approach - defining a range rather than exact calculations - but it is my understanding that the Applicant must not

use this approach extensively or over-rely on it, so consider it very important that coastal defences are examined in public.

Yours faithfully,

Rachel Smith-Lyte

Green Party Councillor for Melton

@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

East Suffolk Council will continue to review and prioritise the delivery of its services during this unprecedented time.

The COVID-19 outbreak will severely impact what we are able to do, however we will continue to support and protect our communities, delivering the critical services you need.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and accept this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free.

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com